Budget Proposals 2014/15 and 2015/16: Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) – Torbay Coast and Countryside Trust

Officer Name:	Sue Cheriton	Position:	Executive Head of Resident & Visitor Services
Business Unit:	Resident & Visitor Services	Directorate:	Place and Resources
Executive Lead(s):	Cllr Ray Hill	Date:	6 th February 2014

The council and its partners are facing a significant challenge in the savings it needs to make over the next couple of years. This Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) has been developed as a tool to enable business units to fully consider the impact of their proposals on the community. As a council we need to ensure that we are able to deliver the savings that we need to make while mitigating against any negative or adverse impacts on particular groups across our communities.

This EIA will evidence that the Council have fully considered the impact of the proposed changes and has carried out appropriate consultation on those changes with the key stakeholders. This EIA and the evidence provided within it will allow Councillors to make informed decisions as part of the decision-making process regarding the council's budget.

Executive Lead / Head Sign off:

Executive Lead(s)		Executive	
	Cllr Ray Hill	Head:	Sue Cheriton
Date:	6 th February 2014	Date:	6 th February 2014

Summary from Overall Budget Proposals:

Drawagala Outline	0045440		Implementation Cost	Delivery When will this	Risks / impact of proposals • Potential risks	Type of decision		
Proposals – Outline	Income £	Budget reduction £	Include brief outline + year incurred	proposal realise income / savings	Knock on impact to other agencies /	Internal	Minor	Major
TCCT - Reduction in grant over two years to Torbay Coast and Countryside Trust (TCCT)	0	100,000	None	£50,000 2014/15 £50,000 2015/16	There is the potential that TCCT will not be able to deliver projects such as the further development of Occombe Farm. Maintenance of land managed on behalf of the Council will likely to be affected.			Х

Section 1: Purpose of the proposal/strategy/decision

No	Question	Details
1.	Clearly set out the	
	purpose of the proposal and what is the intended outcome.	Outcome – To reduce the grant subsidy to Torbay Coast and Countryside Trust by £100k over two years The services provided by the Torbay Coast and Countryside Trust (TCCT) are in the most part discretionary services. The management of the public rights of way (South West Coast Path) are a statutory obligation as set out in the CROW Act 2000 as is the management of lands within the SSSI or the ANOB. Berryhead has Scheduled Monument status which means there is a statutory obligation to protect and maintain the asset.
		The Trust was formed in 1999 and took over the management of Torbay Council's countryside estate, it was paid an annual management fee of £200,000 by the Council to provide a land management service across 1200 acres. This fee has remained largely unchanged over the 13 years of the Trust's operation, although on route there has been the transfer of additional land (the estate is now 1750 acres) and income-generating assets and occasional adjustments for inflation.
		The estate comprises 76% of Torbay's Public Open Space and the Trust is fully responsible for its maintenance and insurance.
		The Trust has been successful in developing new activities and income sources to help regenerate the estate and has succeeded in attracting over £8m of external investment in improving and maintaining the infrastructure of the estate. In 1999 the Trust employed just 9 people; it now employs 32 and provides placements for another 10 apprentices.
		Over the years TCCT have been working to be cost neutral across all their sites. The Trustees are working on proposals that would enable to trust to generate new sources of income.
		The Trust is indebted with overdraft and loans at the bank totalling £900k (varies as overdraft facility is used) and the Council has paid £800k in advance of land at Preston Down Road. It is anticipated at sometime in the future the Council will sell the Preston Down Road site which will probably be included in the emerging Local Plan as a site for residential development. As the Trust has a lease on the site it will be entitled to a proportion of any capital receipt (proportion will be subject to negotiation).
		There have been discussions with the Trust for the Council to take back control of the sites the Trust leases that have some development potential in return for the Council clearing some or all the Trust's debts. These discussions have not created an agreed outcome at this stage.

No	Question	Details
2.	Who is intended to benefit / who will be affected?	The proposed reduction over the next two years will probably see a reduction in the quantity and/or quality of the land management undertaken by the Trust. This could reduce the quality of our coastal footpaths and other amenity land maintained by the Trust on the Council's behalf.
		The Trust does have plans to increase their income/surplus by increasing their trading activities. If this is successful it should be able to mitigate the Council's reduction in management fee.

Section 2: Equalities, Consultation and Engagement

Torbay Council has a moral obligation as well as a duty under the Equality Act 2010 to eliminate discrimination, promote good relations and advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not.

The **Equalities, Consultation and Engagement** section ensures that, as a council, we take into account the Public Sector Equality Duty at an early stage and provide evidence to ensure that we fully consider the impact of our decisions/proposals on the Torbay community.

Evidence, Consultation and Engagement

No	Question		Details			
3.	Have you considered the available evidence?	CCT have been advised of the proposal. They have indicated there are two potential outcomes:				
		 A reduction in service (as described above) equ If the Council and Trust can come to an agreem with development potential, they believe that the with the income to support the reduction of the g Although the second outcome has been discussed agreed Capital grants from the Coastal Communities Fund and opportunities to support the organisation. 	ent on the proposed grant.	paying of busines	off the Trust'ss plan and o	s debts in return for control of land development option is deliverable ned
			Period	Actual	Target	
		No of complaints received regarding condition of the coast path	Q2 2013/14	4	4	
		Develop and agree a 3 year maintenance plan and maintain the buildings and landholdings in line	2012/13	90%	100%	
		Value of grant funding generated against the proportion of the total income - revenue	2012/13	28%	30%	

No	Question		Details				
		TCCT - % actual achieved against revenue budget	2012/13	106%	100%		
		% profit from Enterprises against turnover	2012/13	15%	12%		
		Meeting the actions identified in the Annual Business Plan	2012/13	92%	80%		
		Developing and meeting targets identified in the Bio-Diversity Action Plan	2012/13	85%	80%		
		Number of volunteer hours undertaken	Q2 2013/14	9,771	6,250		
		Maintaining Green Flag Award for Cockington Country Park	2012/13	Yes	Yes		
		Develop the marketing strategy and delivery targets 2010-12	2012/13	90%	90%		
4.	How will / have you consulted on the proposal?	Consultation has taken place with the Chair of TCCT a development of proposals for negotiation and also an in It is expected that the service development proposal work Torbay Council have also consulted with: • All residents in Torbay via the Mayor's budget of the consulted with the chair of TCCT and development of	ndication o	of the imp	act the cuts	will have on service standards	5.

No	Question	Details			
5.	Outline the key findings	The TCCT budget proposal was included within the Mayor's General Consultation – where the following questions were asked:			
		t. It is proposed to reduce the grant to the Torbay Coast and Countryside Trust by £50,000 in 2014/15 and by £50,000 in 2015/16. This is expected to save £100,000 over two years. Do you support this proposal?			
		Do you support this proposal?			
		Yes 249 60.9			
		No 131 32.0			
		No response 29 7.1			
		Total 409 100.0			
		124 respondents also made comments relating to the proposal where they stated that they did not agree with it. These are attached at appendix one of this report.			
6.	What amendments may be required as a result of the consultation?	There are no changes to the proposal in light of the consultation although outcome 2 (as specified within section 1 above) is still to be investigated.			

Positive and Negative Equality Impacts

)	Positive and Negative Equality Impacts Question Details						
	Identify the potential positive and negative impacts on specific groups		Details				
		Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Neutral Impact			
	Older or younger people		No Differential Impact				
	People with caring responsibilities		No Differential Impact				
	People with a disability		No Differential Impact				
	Women or men		No Differential Impact				
	People who are black or from a minority ethnic background (BME)		No Differential Impact				
	Religion or belief (including lack of belief)		No Differential Impact				
	People who are lesbian, gay or bisexual		No Differential Impact				
	People who are transgendered		No Differential Impact				
	People who are in a marriage or civil partnership		No Differential Impact				
	Women who are pregnant / on maternity leave		No Differential Impact				
	Socio-economic impacts (Including impact on child poverty issues and deprivation)		No Differential Impact				
	Public Health impacts (How will your proposal impact on the general health of the population of Torbay)		No Differential Impact				

No	Question	Details
8a.	Cumulative Impacts – Council wide (proposed changes	There are no other proposed changes within the Council budgets which will impact upon the TCCT.
	elsewhere which might worsen the impacts identified above)	If the savings plan proposed or income levels are not achieved it is expected that maintenance of the countryside around Torbay under lease to TCCT may suffer. This will affect all those who use the SW Coast Path if the maintenance of this land reduces.
		The Scheduled Monument and ANOB areas may be affected by reduced maintenance which may result in restricted public access in future years if this no longer can be maintained to a level to allow public access.
8b.	Cumulative Impacts – Other public services (proposed changes elsewhere which might worsen the impacts identified above)	There are no other public service proposals of which the Council are aware of which will impact upon the TCCT.

Section 3: Mitigating action

No	Action	Details
9.	Summarise any negative	The biggest impact will be what the Trust can achieve on the remaining grant to ensure that key assets are maintained. The
	impacts and how these will	Council will work with the Trust to identify areas that need to be the priority and explore other help to ensure that the assets
	be managed?	that the public value most continue to be maintained.

Section 4: Monitoring

No	Action	Details					
10.	Outline plans to monitor	The performance indicators will be adjusted to reflect the agreed changes in delivery of the service. Once these are set the					
	the actual impact of your	Council will monitor on a quarterly basis. There will be a constant review on the performance of the Trust and any					
	proposals	adjustments dealt with as part of the monthly meetings with the Chairman and Director.					

Section 5: Recommended course of action -

No	Action	Outcome	Tick ✓	Reasons/justification for recommended action
11.	State a recommended course of action	Outcome 1: No major change required - EIA has not identified any potential for adverse impact in relation to equalities and all opportunities to promote equality have been taken	✓	The proposals do not amount to discrimination, either direct or indirect.
		Outcome 2: Adjustments to remove barriers – Action to remove the barriers identified in relation to equalities have been taken or actions identified to better promote equality		
		Outcome 3: Continue with proposal - Despite having identified some potential for adverse impact / missed opportunities in relation to equalities or to promote equality. Full justification required, especially in relation to equalities, in line with the duty to have 'due regard'.		
		Outcome 4: Stop and rethink – EIA has identified actual or potential unlawful discrimination in relation to equalities or adverse impact has been identified		

APPENDIX ONE:

Grant to Torbay Coast and Countryside Trust

It is proposed to reduce the grant to the Torbay Coast and Countryside Trust by £50,000 in 2014/15 and by £50,000 in 2015/16. This is expected to save £100,000 over two years.

Do you support this proposal?	Number	Percent
Yes	249	60.9
No	131	32.0
No response	29	7.1
Total	409	100.0

124 respondents made comments related to the proposal. These have been summarised into themes below:

Category	Examples of comments – where respondents said 'No' above	
Will affect tourism	"We need to keep investing in our coast for tourism and coastal erosion reasons." "Tourism is important to this area and I can see this might impact on visitors and the environment."	
	"Massively important part of our economy is tourism. Coast and countryside is a major factor in this."	
Protect the environment	"The conservation of the environment is fundamental to sustainable development to protect natural resources." "This organisation is working to conserve and enhance the very natural assets that make Torbay special and attract visitors to this area at all times of year, quite apart from the very real long-term need to save the environment for future generations."	
Vital resource	"We need to protect and care for our coast and countryside, they are valuable assets." "It is important for both residents and tourists to have access to a well maintained coast path and parkland."	